COMPUTATIONAL STOCHASTIC PROCESSES Problem Sheet 2

U. Vaes

with problems from G. Pavliotis, A. Duncan and S. Gomes

March 2020

You are free to return a selection of your work to me for marking. This is entirely optional and the mark will not count for assessment.

1 Stochastic differential equations

Problem 1 (Weak error). Let us consider the weak Euler–Maruyama update defined by

$$X_{n+1}^{\Delta t} = X_n^{\Delta t} + b(X_n^{\Delta t}) \,\Delta t + \sigma(X_n^{\Delta t}) \,\sqrt{\Delta t} \,\xi_n,$$

where $\{\xi_n\}_{n=0}^{N-1}$ are i.i.d. discrete-valued random variables taking values 1 and -1 with equal probability. Show that the weak error, for geometric Brownian motion and for the observables f(x) = x and $f(x) = x^2$, scales as Δt , i.e. show that

$$\left|\mathbb{E}\left[f(X_{N\Delta t}) - f(X_N^{\Delta t})\right]\right| \le C\Delta t,$$

for a constant C independent of Δt .

Problem 2 (Variance reduction). Consider the overdamped Langevin equation

$$dX_t = -V'(X_t) dt + \sqrt{2\beta^{-1}} dW_t, \qquad X_0 = -1,$$
(1)

where $V(\cdot)$ is the double well potential:

$$V(x) = \frac{x^4}{4} - \frac{x^2}{2}.$$

1. By using a Monte Carlo simulation with the Euler–Maruyama method, estimate the probability P defined by

$$P := \mathbb{P}[X_T > 0], \qquad T = 1.$$

2. By using importance sampling, implement an estimator for P with a lower variance.

Problem 3 (Maximum Likelihood estimator). Consider the SDE

$$\mathrm{d}X_t = (\alpha X_t - \beta X_t^3) \,\mathrm{d}t + \mathrm{d}W_t$$

Our objective is to derive maximum likelihood estimators for α and β for a given observation of the path $X_t, t \in [0, T]$.

1. Show that the log of the likelihood function is

$$\log L = \alpha B_1 - \beta B_3 - \frac{1}{2}\alpha^2 M_2 - \frac{1}{2}\beta^2 M_6 + \alpha\beta M_4,$$

where

$$M_n(\{X_t\}_{t\in[0,T]}) = \int_0^T X_t^n \, \mathrm{d}t \quad \text{and} \quad B_n(\{X_t\}_{t\in[0,T]}) := \int_0^T X_t^n \, \mathrm{d}X_t.$$

2. Consequently show that the MLE for α and β are given by

$$\hat{\alpha} = \frac{B_1 M_6 - B_3 M_4}{M_2 M_6 - M_4^2}$$
 and $\hat{\beta} = \frac{B_1 M_4 - B_3 M_2}{M_2 M_6 - M_4^2}$.

Problem 4 (Nonlinear SDEs in population dynamics). The following SDE appears in population dynamics:

$$dX_t = -\mu X_t (1 - X_t) dt - \sigma X_t (1 - X_t) dW_t$$
(2)

- 1. Show that $X_t = 1$ is a fixed point for (2) and that linearizing about this fixed point we obtain the SDE for geometric Brownian motion.
- 2. Solve (2) numerically using the explicit Euler scheme for $\mu = -1$, $X_0 = 1.1$ and for $\sigma = .5, .6, .7, .8, .9$. Calculate numerically $\mathbb{E}|X_t 1|^2$ and comment on the mean square stability of the explicit Euler scheme for the nonlinear SDE (2).
- 3. Solve (2) using the θ -Euler scheme with $\theta = \frac{1}{2}$. Investigate the mean square stability of this numerical scheme when applied to (2).

2 Markov chain Monte Carlo

Problem 5. Read Section 3.3 in the lecture notes, and show that π_{st} and π_{pt} are reversible for the Markov chains generated by the *simulated tempering* and *parallel tempering* algorithms, respectively. For the case of *parallel tempering*, consider for simplicity the case where N = 2. In both cases, assume that the MCMC schemes employed with probability α_0 , in the notations of the lecture notes, are such that the associated transition distributions $p_i(x, y)$ satisfy detailed balance:

$$\pi_i(x) p_i(x, y) = \pi_i(y) p_i(y, x), \qquad \pi_i \propto \exp\left(-\frac{H(x)}{T_i}\right).$$
(3)

Here T_i denote positive constants, called *temperatures* by analogy with physical systems, and H(x) denotes a smooth confining potential – a function such that $\lim_{|x|\to\infty} H(x) = +\infty$ and $e^{-H(x)/T} \in L^1(X)$ for all T > 0. (This second condition guarantees that $e^{-H(x)/T}$ defines a probability measure, up to the normalization constant.)

Problem 6 (Metropolis–Hastings). In this question we explore the Metropolis–Hastings algorithm in a discrete state space.

1. Suppose we wish to sample from the binomial distribution

$$p_k = \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!} p^k (1-p)^{n-k}, \quad k \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\},$$

with parameters $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p \in (0, 1)$. Derive an independence sampler using a uniform distribution on $0, \ldots, n$ as proposal distribution.

2. The geometric probability distribution is

$$p_k = p(1-p)^{k-1}, \quad k \in \{1, 2, 3, \ldots\},\$$

with parameter $p \in (0, 1)$. Derive a simple symmetric random walk Metropolis Hastings algorithm to sample from this distribution.

In both cases implement the samplers in a programming language of your choice (with your chosen values of p and n), and confirm that they work by comparing the estimated means and variances with the known theoretical means and variances of these distributions.

Problem 7 (Metropolis-Hastings using deterministic transformations). Suppose we wish to sample from a distribution $\pi(x)$. We consider sampling from this distribution using a Metropolis-Hastings algorithm in which the proposal distribution q(y|x) is an equal mixture of two uniform distributions, as follows:

$$q(\cdot \,|\, x) = \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{U}((a-\varepsilon)x, (a+\varepsilon)x) + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{U}(x/(a+\varepsilon), x/(a-\varepsilon), x/(a-\varepsilon)),$$

where a is a constant greater than one, and $0 < \varepsilon < a - 1$, for $x \ge 0$, and analogously (i.e. with bounds flipped) for x < 0.

- 1. Derive an expression for the MH acceptance probability for this proposal distribution.
- 2. Consider the limit of this algorithm as $\varepsilon \to 0$. Describe the resulting algorithm in this limit.
- 3. For the particular case where π is the following distribution

$$\pi(x) = \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{1}{(1+x^2)^2}$$

would either of the schemes proposed be efficient for sampling from π ?

Problem 8 (Alternative acceptance probabilities). While the Metropolis-Hastings acceptance probability is by far the most widely used acceptance probability, there are several other choices. One alternative rule is the *Barker rule*:

$$\alpha(x,y) = \left(1 + \frac{\pi(x)q(y|x)}{q(x|y)\pi(y)}\right)^{-1}$$

- 1. Show that the Metropolis Hastings scheme using this acceptance rule is reversible with respect to π , in the case of a continuous state space.
- 2. Using a proposal $q(\cdot|x) \sim \mathcal{N}(x, \delta^2)$, implement the Barker-rule based scheme, as well as a standard RWMH for a standard Gaussian target distribution π . Plotting the acceptance rate averaged over time, how do they compare?
- 3. Compare the performance in terms of effective sample size.

Problem 9. Consider the independence sampler, i.e. of the Metropolis–Hastings algorithm with proposal $q(\cdot|x) = g(\cdot)$. Show that, if $\pi(x) \leq M g(x)$ for some constant M, then the probability of an acceptance from state x is bounded from below by $\frac{1}{M}$.

Problem 10 (MALA algorithm and preconditioning). Let $\pi(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ be a probability density function and suppose that we want to calculate the expectation

$$\mathbb{E}_{\pi}f = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(\mathbf{x})\pi(\mathbf{x})\,\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x},\tag{4}$$

where $f(\mathbf{x})$ is an arbitrary function such that $\mathbb{E}_{\pi}f < +\infty$.

1. Explain how you can use a diffusion process of the form

$$d\mathbf{X}_t = \nabla \log \pi(\mathbf{X}_t) \, dt + \sqrt{2} \, d\mathbf{W}_t, \qquad \mathbf{X}_0 \sim \rho_0, \tag{5}$$

where \mathbf{W}_t denotes standard Brownian motion on \mathbb{R}^d in order to calculate $\mathbb{E}_{\pi} f$.

2. Let $\pi(x)$ be a bivariate normal distribution $\pi \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \Sigma)$, where

$$\mu = \begin{bmatrix} 3\\6 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \Sigma = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0.5\\0.5 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

- (a) Write down $\pi(x)$, $\log \pi(x)$ and $\nabla \log \pi(x)$.
- (b) Use the above calculations to sample from π using the MALA distribution.
- (c) Compute an estimator for $I = \mathbb{E}(f(\mathbf{X}))$, where $\mathbf{X} = (X, Y) \sim \pi$ and $f(\mathbf{x}) = x^3 + y^2$.
- (d) Track the acceptance rate for different time steps δ .